Appendix 8 - Open Space Strategy 2017

Play Area Audit - Criteria

Play Areas were comparably assessed against the following criteria to provide a score that identifies each area as either good, satisfactory or requiring improvement:

Accessibility
Secluded versus Overlooked. A score of 1-10 ranging between a totally secluded site (0-5 not overlooked) to one that is entirely overlooked and visible (5-10) by residential properties.
Restrictions to community access. Is the site bounded or cut off from good communal access by main trunk road, train line, or natural feature such as river (Restricted 0-5, Not Restricted 5-10).
DDA Accessible (access to site plus available play equipment)? A score of 1-10 to give a guide to how easily accessible the site is (Inaccessible 0-5, Accessible 5-10).
Quality
A score out of ten for missing items/removed, non-replaced, equipment. None = 10. Several (judged by how many) down to 1
An average score for the overall kit condition and potential future longevity. Good & Long = 5- 10 Poor & Short = 4-1
An average score for play value. 10 to 7 = substantial range of play activities covered, 6 to 4 = medium range of activities, 3 to 1 = small number of play activities.
Design and layout. 10 to 7 = strong design and layout, has wow factor, 6 to 4 reasonable design and layout, 3 to 1 = poor design and layout.
Usage
Visual assessment based on recent individual site visits and where applicable, from footfall figures and parish evidence. High = 10, Low = 1.

Scoring was weighted towards quality as this was considered the most significantly changed factor when refurbishment take place: